

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2006) KLR VOL 5 PART 219 pp. 1871 - 2034

MAY 2006

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

MAY CONTD.

13. Amayo v. Erinmwingbovo p. 1871
14. Archibong v. State p. 1891
15. Duru v. Nwangwu p. 1935
16. M. O. Kanu, Sons & Co. Ltd v. First Bank of Nigeria Plc. p. 1947
17. Olukoya v. Ashiru p. 1973
18. Zakhem Con. (Nig.) Ltd. v. Nneji p. 2011

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2006) 5 KLR

APPEALS - Admissions - Findings of fact - Not appealed against - Stands admitted and undisputed (H3) Olukoya v. Ashiru p. 1973

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Supported by sufficient evidence - Should not be disturbed by appellate court - Unless the findings violate - Some principle of law or procedure (H4) M. O. Kanu, Sons & Co. Ltd v. First Bank Plc. p. 1947

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Where not shown to be perverse - Supreme Court will not interfere (H4) Amayo v. Erinmwingbovo p. 1871

APPEALS - Issues for determination - Not canvassed before trial court - Cannot be the basis of an issue on appeal (H2) M. O. Kanu, Sons & Co. Ltd v. First Bank Plc. p. 1947

APPEALS - Reversal - Evidence - Wrongly admitted evidence - Must be shown to have adversely affected due consideration of a case - For appeal court to reverse the decision because of it (H7) Archibong v. State p. 1891

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Suspension and Modification - Right to personal liberty - Guaranteed under s. 32(1) 1979 Constitution - Was not suspended by 2011 Suspension Decree (H1) Duru v. Nwangwu p. 1935

CONTRACTS - Documents - Exhibit 29 - Interpretation of - Shows no contract of hire between the parties (H3) Zakhem Con. (Nig) Ltd v. Nneji p. 2011

CONTRACTS - Documents of - Rights of parties should be determined - In accordance with the terms of their contract - Which in this case - Is according to Exhibit 29 (H4) Zakhem Con. (Nig) Ltd v. Nneji p. 2011

CONTRACTS - Formation of - Acceptance of offer - Must be in the manner and terms attached to the offer (H3) M. O. Kanu, Sons & Co. Ltd

v. First Bank Plc. p. 1947

CONTRACTS - Formation of - Through correspondence - It must be apparent that parties have come to an agreement - When the correspondence are read together (H2) Zakhem Con. (Nig) Ltd v. Nneji p. 2011

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Circumstantial evidence - May secure a conviction for murder - But the evidence must unequivocally point to one direction only - That the accused killed the deceased (H5) Archibong v. State p. 1891

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification - Meaning of - It means a whole series of facts and circumstances - For which a witness associates an accused - With commission of offence charged (H2) Archibong v. State p. 1891

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification parade - Is otiose - Where accused was known to the witness before the incident - As it becomes a case of recognition, not identification (H3) Archibong v. State p. 1891

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identity of accused - Where alleged to be mistaken - Court must receive the identification evidence with caution - Before convicting accused on the strength of it (H1) Archibong v. State p. 1891

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Jurisprudence - Doubt - Contradictions in prosecution's case - Will not be fatal - Unless they relate to fundamental and core issues (H4) Archibong v. State p. 1891

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Using circumstantial evidence - There must be a number of circumstances - Coexisting and credible - Summing up to a complete and unbroken chain of evidence - Of guilt of the accused - To determine his guilt based on those circumstances (H6) Archibong v. State p. 1891

DOCUMENTS - Contractual document - Must contain an offer and an acceptance of that offer - To be properly so called - Only Exhibit 29 here qualifies as such (H1) Zakhem Con. (Nig) Ltd v. Nneji p. 2011

DOCUMENTS - Conveyance - Recitals - Authenticity of which was not challenged - Is conclusive proof of assertion therein (H4) Olukoya v. Ashiru p. 1973

EVIDENCE - Appeals - Concurrent findings of fact - Supported by evidence on printed records - Cannot ordinarily be disturbed by Supreme Court - Even if it would have concluded otherwise upon the evidence (H7) Olukoya v. Ashiru p. 1973

EVIDENCE - Proof - Onus of - Claim for declaration of title - Though plaintiff must succeed on strength of own case - Plaintiff may support own case with any evidence by defence - Once such is admitted (H2) Olukoya v. Ashiru p. 1973

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS - Definitive pronouncements - On substantive case - Upon hearing of interlocutory application - Was right in this case - As necessary to resolve the issue on appeal - Relating only to the 8th and 9th defendants - (H2) Duru v. Nwangwu p. 1935

LAND LAW - Doctrine of priority - Competing claims of title - He who is first in time has the strongest right - After a party effectively divests himself of his right in a land - Any further exercise of that right by him is null and void (H5) Olukoya v. Ashiru p. 1973

LAND LAW - Registration of Instruments - Purpose of - It is not concerned with validity of instruments - It is intended to protect other intending buyers - By putting them on notice (H8) Olukoya v. Ashiru p. 1973

LAND LAW - Title - Bini customary law - That vests land in the Oba of Benin - Is not the issue - As making out a good title is what matters (H2) Amayo v. Erinmwingbovo p. 1871

LAND LAW - Title - Proof of - There are several methods of proving title to land - These include documents of title and acts of possession - As relied on by the Respondent (H1) Olukoya v. Ashiru p. 1973

LAND LAW - Title - Proof of ownership - Traditional history evidence - Where not contradicted - It can support a claim for declaration of title (H1) Amayo v. Erinmwingbovo p. 1871

LAND LAW - Trespass - Evidence - Exclusive possession - Plaintiff must show a better title - Coupled with evidence - In order to succeed (H3) Amayo v. Erinmwingbovo p. 1871

PLEADINGS - Contracts - Illegality of - Where not ex facie illegal - Must be pleaded - With sufficient details as to make the illegality obvious (H1) M. O. Kanu, Sons & Co. Ltd v. First Bank Plc. p. 1947

WORDS & PHRASES - Nemo dat quod non habet - Meaning of - Having once granted his entire interest in the land to another - A landlord is left with nothing that he may subsequently grant out (H6) Olukoya v. Ashiru p. 1973

INDEX OF STATUTES

Constitution (Suspension and Modification) Decree No 107 of 2011; s. 1(2) Duru v. Nwangwu p. 1935

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979; ss. 32 and 42 Duru v. Nwangwu p. 1935

Criminal Code, Cap 31, Volume II, Laws of Cross River State; s. 319(1) Archibong v. State p. 1891

Land Instrument Registration Law, Cap 64, Laws of Lagos State, ss. 15 and 16 Olukoya v. Ashiru p. 1973